



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,987	12/29/2003	Paul Terlizzi	BALMAK 3.0-011	8252

530 7590 04/14/2006

LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK
600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090

EXAMINER

PATTERSON, MARIE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3728

DATE MAILED: 04/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/748,987	Applicant(s) TERLIZZI ET AL.	
	Examiner Marie Patterson	Art Unit 3728	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 March 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,8,9 and 16-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-3,8,9 and 16-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 25, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Minden (5035069).

Minden shows a shoe comprising an upper (28) with a sole (32 and 36) which is flexible and has a heel portion (rear portion shown in figure 6), a curved arch portion (central portion shown in figure 6) which is shown to be at least 1/3 the length of the entire shoe sole (shown in figure 6) and the toe portion is located below the horizontal plane formed by the heel portion (shown in figure 6) as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-3, 8, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Minden (5035069) or Savino (1953659) in view of Sothen (1836624).

Either Minden or Savino shows a dance shoe with a sole having a curved arch so that the toe is located downward and below the bottom of the heel substantially as claimed except for the toe portion of the sole extending upwardly along opposite sides of the shoe. Sothen teaches extending the toe portion of the sole of a dance shoe upwardly along opposite sides (at 18) of the upper to increase support in the toe region. It would have been obvious to provide side portions as taught by Sothen in the shoe of either Minden or Savino to increase support and durability of the shoe.

5. Claims 16, and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Minden (5035069) or Savino (1953659) in view of Morrone (2002/0078591).

Either Minden or Savino shows a dance shoe with a sole having a curved arch so that the toe is located downward and below the bottom of the heel substantially as claimed except for the upper having an opening with eyelets, laces, and a tongue. Morrone teaches forming an upper with eyelets, laces, and a tongue (see figure 6) as an alternative upper for a dance shoe with an upper without such an arrangement (as shown in figure 1). It would have been obvious to form the upper with eyelets, laces, and tongue as taught by Morrone in the shoe of either Minden or Savino to allow a tighter fit, more adjustability in the fit, etc.

6. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 16 and 19-24 above, and further in view of Sothen (1836624).

Either Minden or Savino as modified above shows a dance shoe with a sole having a curved arch so that the toe is located downward and below the bottom of the heel

substantially as claimed except for the toe portion of the sole extending upwardly along opposite sides of the shoe. Sothen teaches extending the toe portion of the sole of a dance shoe upwardly along opposite sides (at 18) of the upper to increase support in the toe region. It would have been obvious to provide side portions as taught by Sothen in the shoe of either Minden or Savino as modified above to increase support and durability of the shoe.

7. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minden (5035069) in view of Sothen (1836624).

Minden shows a dance shoe with a sole having a curved arch so that the toe is located downward and below the bottom of the heel substantially as claimed except for the toe portion of the sole extending upwardly along opposite sides of the shoe. Sothen teaches extending the toe portion of the sole of a dance shoe upwardly along opposite sides (at 18) of the upper to increase support in the toe region. It would have been obvious to provide side portions as taught by Sothen in the shoe of Minden to increase support and durability of the shoe.

8. Claims 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Minden (5035069) in view of Morrone (2002/0078591).

Minden shows a dance shoe with a sole having a curved arch so that the toe is located downward and below the bottom of the heel substantially as claimed except for the upper having an opening with eyelets, laces, and a tongue. Morrone teaches forming an upper with eyelets, laces, and a tongue (see figure 6) as an alternative upper for a dance shoe with an upper without such an arrangement (as shown in figure 1). It

would have been obvious to form the upper with eyelets, laces, and tongue as taught by Morrone in the shoe of Minden to allow a tighter fit, more adjustability in the fit, etc.

.Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

1. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). Other useful information can be obtained at the PTO Home Page at www.uspto.gov.

Art Unit: 3728

In order to avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Center at (572)272-8300 **(FORMAL FAXES ONLY)**. Please identify Examiner Marie Patterson of Art Unit 3728 at the top of your cover sheet.

Any inquiry concerning the MERITS of this examination from the examiner should be directed to Marie Patterson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4559. The examiner can normally be reached from 6AM - 4PM Mon-Wed.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Marie Patterson', with a stylized flourish at the end.

Marie Patterson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3728